Wastewater = "Re-N-<u>E</u>-W-able" Resource

Energy Recovery in Wastewater and Biosolids

Amit Pramanik, PhD, BCEEM Senior Program Director Water Environment Research Foundation

Water and Watts: Potential to Save Energy and Water in the Municipal, Industrial, and Commercial Sectors

Atlantic Council, Washington DC

Tuesday, June 19, 2012 8:30 am to 6:00pm

Humanity's Top Ten Problems for Next 50 Years wastewater management can help provide solutions

- 1. Energy
- 2. Water
- 3. Food
- 4. Environment
- 5. Poverty
- 6. Terrorism & War
- 7. Disease
- 8. Education
- 9. Democracy
- 10. Population



2003 6.3 Billion People 2050 9-10 Billion People (Source: Richard Smalley, Nobel Laureate) Slide kindly provided by Dr. Paul Bishop, NSF and Dr. Kartik Chandran, Columbia Univ., NY

Proper management and treatment of "Wastewater" can help address the Top 4 problems, and #7 (Sanitation – most significant medical advance to protect public health and prolong life)

Wastewater is a Re-N-E-W-able Resource

Three new WERF inter-related challenges and goals

- <u>Nutrient Recovery</u> Transition from treatment based industry to a **resource recovery** industry that is both economically and environmentally sustainable.
- <u>Energy Production and Efficiency</u> Energy self sufficiency for wastewater treatment plants.
- <u>Water</u> Establish sustainable systems that integrate management of wastewater, stormwater, drinking water and source water.



Changing View of Biosolids Management in USA



"Charting the Future of Biosolids", by CDM for WEF & NBP, May 2011, USA

Wastewater Widely Recognized as Resource



Wastewater treatment facilities, which treat human and animal waste, should be viewed as renewable resource recovery facilities that produce clean water, recover energy and generate nutrients. - USEPA (April 2012)

Water Environment Federation Position Statement (2011)

WEF believes that wastewater treatment plants are **NOT** waste disposal facilities, but rather *water resource recovery* facilities that produce clean water, *recover nutrients*, and have the potential to *reduce the nation's dependence on fossil fuels* through the production and use of *renewable energy*.

WEF launched *Energy Neutral Roadmap* initiative in March 2012

Water & Energy

- Energy in wastewater is up to 10 times the energy needed for treatment....yet.....
- 3 4% of electricity consumed in the U.S. annually is for water and wastewater conveyance and treatment (56 billion kW or \$4 billion)
- Water/wastewater utilities typically the largest consumers of energy in municipalities, often 30 – 40% percent of total energy consumed



- Where does the electrical energy used by WWTPs typically go?
- Energy costs are second only to Labor in Utilities' operating budgets.
- Energy costs projected to increase, but it is also one of the most controllable.



Energy Content in Wastewater

Constituent	Unit	Value
Wastewater, heat basis	MJ/10°C•10 ³ m ³	41,900
Wastewater, COD basis	MJ/kg COD	12 - 15
Primary sludge, dry	MJ/kg TSS	15 - 15.9
Secondary biosolids, dry	MJ/kg TSS	12.4 - 13.5

from Tchobanoglous

Wastewater Contains 3 to 12 MJ/m³ in COD Energy

To Achieve Energy Neutral Treatment we need to look at *Energy Demand* and *Energy Production*



Net Plant Energy = Energy Demand – Energy Production



Model WWTPs for Energy Sustainability

Produce more energy than needed for treatment



Located near Strass im Zillertal, the Strass wastewater treat Overview Locateo near strats in cimerta, the strats wantevater treat-ment plant (WWTP) serves 31 communities in the Achental and Zillertal valleys east of innstruck, Austria. It provides wate-Ellertal valleys east of innibrudik, Austria. It provides water-water treatment for a population that range from approximately 6000 in the summer to be 50,000 during the writer bound reason, and has treatment requirements that indude organic and more memoral. An energy-independent facility, the plant and information of the streamer from constants. produces more electrical energy than it requires for its operation The peak winter flow and load is equivalent to a plant treat-

The peak winter flow and load is equivalent to a year rear-ment capacity of 10 mgd. Using a two-sludge system (high rate BCD removal followed by nitrification/demitrification), it provides for both nitrogen and phosphorus removal, biologically and for both netrogen and prosperorus removal, biologically and chemically, respectively. The plant was commissioned in 1999 and successive optimization efforts over the part decade have and successive optimization entorts over the past decade name resulted in significant cost and resource reduction. Highlights of these efforts include:

Figure 1. Aerial View of Strass Wastewater Treatment Plant. Reported with permasion from Abwaseventand Achiental-Instal-Zieria

Reduction of chemical costs for sludge thickening by 50%.

- Reduction in sludge dewatering costs by 33%.
- Reduction in energy consumption on mass treated basis from Neouction in energy consumption on mass treated pass treat approximately 65 eurokig NH₂n removed in 2003 to 2.9 eurokig NH₄n removed in 2007/2008, primarily through active management of dissolved oxygen (DO) setpoints and conversion of the aeration system from conventional fine bubble to ultra
 - high efficiency strip aeration. Reduction in energy consumption for sidestream treatment from 350 kwhid to 196 kwhid by implementing a novel sidestream nitrogen removal system (DEMON*).
 - Enhanced utilization of the digester gas by converting to a state-of-the-art cogeneration unit, boosting electrical efficiency
 - from 33% to 40% and overall usage efficiency from 2.05 to 2.30 kwh/m³ of digester gas.

Examples (100%+):

East Bay Municipal Utility Dist. (Oakland, CA) Strass im Zillertal WWTP (Innsbruck, Austria)

Examples (70%+): Sheboygan WWTP (Sheboygan, WI) GJJWWTP (Johnstown, NY)

Best Performing WWT Plants...

How did they get here?

Maximize energy recovery AND Maximize energy efficiency







Energy Efficiency

- Reduce the energy needed for treatment
 - More efficient equipment with better control

Best Practice Benchmarks and Top Performance Quartiles for Wisconsin Wastewater Facilities

Facility Type	Flow Range (MGD)	Average Energy Use (kWh/MG)	Top Performance Quartile (kWh/MG)	Best Practice Benchmark (kWh/MG)	Average Potential Savings ¹⁰
Activated Sludge	0 - 1	5,440	< 3,280	3,060	44%
	1 - 5	2,503	< 1,510	1,650	34%
	> 5	2,288	< 1,350	1,760	23%
Aerated Lagoon	< 1	7,288	< 4,000 ¹¹	3,540	51%
Oxidation Ditch	< 1.2	6,895	< 4,000 ¹²	4,320	37%

Biogas – Source of Heat and Power

- Approximately 1 ft³ of biogas per person per day can be produced by anaerobic digester
- The energy content of biogas is 600 Btu/ ft³





Energy Recovery

 Generating onsite power from renewable energy (such as biogas) lowers carbon footprint at WWTPs by 20-40%.





Co-digest Organic Waste with Wastewater Solids

 Increases biogas produced







Dried Biosolids are already being used as Renewable Fuel in Maryland



Lehigh Cement in Union Bridge, MD

Each ton of dried biosolids replaces ½ ton of coal used in the manufacturing process
No negative effects on stack-gas emissions
Greenhouse gas reduction ← This slide courtesy Mid-Atlantic Biosolids Association's Sustainable Biosolids Technologies workshop, and Rob Kershner, 2011







Biosolids successfully used as fertilizer; for agriculture; help reclaim eroded / marginal lands



WERF Challenges on Energy

- Optimization of Wastewater and Solids Operations (OWSO)
 - Demonstrate >20% improvement in energy, cost, &/or environmental impact
 - >20 research projects
 - Tools (LCAMER, CHEApet, GELCAT)
 - Energy Management Decision Support Tools
 - Barriers to Biogas Utilization
 - Compendium of Best Practices and Case Studies

- Energy Management Challenge
 - Provide research to develop new approaches that will allow wastewater treatment plants to be <u>energy neutral</u>, and thus able to operate solely on the energy embedded in the water and wastes they treat.

(from WERF Energy Management Challenge Exploratory Team Report, Jan, 2011)



Opportunity to Collaborate with WERF

- New research project to investigate heat and energy recovery from incinerators as a source of renewable energy
 - Calculate maximum potential per unit of processed residuals and nationwide at utilities already using SSI (sewage sludge incinerators)
 - Document effectiveness of recovering energy or heat by up-to-date and newer thermal oxidation units with combined heat and power (CHP) recovery
 - Compare TBL triple bottom line (environmental and social costs) value of energy (per BTU) recovered from biosolids by thermal oxidation in contrast to the same energy obtained from coal
- Inform Department of Energy, regulators and law makers of the renewable energy potential in biosolids and residuals
- Contact Laureen Fillmore and Allison Deines to learn more



Thank You

For additional information, contact: *Water Environment Research Foundation*

Amit Pramanik, PhD, BCEEM

apramanik@werf.org

Lauren Fillmore, MS lfillmore@werf.org

Allison Deines adeines@werf.org

Ph: (571) 384-2100 WERF 635 Slaters Lane, Suite G-110 Alexandria, VA 22314

www.werf.org

